



EPA Puget Sound Financial and Ecosystem Accounting Tracking System (FEATS)

Photo by Rebecca Pirtle, Editor, Kingston Community News (Doe-Kag-Wats Estuary of the Suquamish Tribe)

PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Federal Grant Number	PA-00J322-01	*2a. Reporting Period Start Date:	4/1/2013	*2b. Reporting Period End Date:	9/30/2013
3. Recipient Organization (Name and complete address including zip code) Name: Northwest Indian Fisheries Commissi Address 1: 6730 Martin Way East Address 2: City: Olympia State: WA Zip Code: 98516-5540			4. Project Manager Contact Information Name: Terry Wright Phone: (360) 528-4336 Ext: Fax: () - Email: wright@nwifc.org		
5a. Program (RFP) Tribal Lead Org	5b. Project Title Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission Lead Organization Award		*6. Collaborating Organizations/Partners None <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Subawardee 21 Tribes/Tribal Consortiums		

Submission Instructions: EPA fills in the white boxes. Grantee fills in the yellow boxes (boxes with asterisks). Refer to guidance document for how to fill out the boxes. After completing the form, save and e-mail it to the Project Officer and cc: the Technical Monitor.	Project Officer: Lisa Chang U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Email: chang.lisa@epa.gov Technical Monitor: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Email:	*7a. Name/Title of Person Submitting Report	Tiffany Waters PS Recovery Proj. Coordinator
		*7b. Date Report Submitted	10/28/2013

FUNDING/COST ANALYSIS

8a. Total EPA Assistance Amount Awarded:	\$15,700,581.00	8b. Funding Year (Federal Fiscal Year Funds Appropriated)	FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013	*9. Total EPA Amount Expended To-Date:	\$6,460,296.63	*10. Funds Drawn Down from EPA To-Date:	\$6,176,872.00
11. Match Amount Required	\$0.00	*12. Total Match Amount Expended and Documented To-Date:	\$0.00	*13. Have you experienced any cost overruns or high unit costs?	No		
*14. What issues or questions do you need the EPA Project Officer or Technical Monitor to respond to?		None					

BUDGET UPDATE

	15a. APPROVED BUDGET			*15b. SPENT TO-DATE		
	EPA	MATCH	TOTAL	EPA	MATCH	TOTAL
Personnel	\$160,035.67	\$0.00	\$160,035.67	\$139,377.75	\$0.00	\$139,377.75
Fringe Benefits	\$50,535.60	\$0.00	\$50,535.60	\$45,180.67	\$0.00	\$45,180.67
Travel	\$6,316.00	\$0.00	\$6,316.00	\$6,390.03	\$0.00	\$6,390.03
Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		\$0.00	\$ 0.00
Supplies	\$4,968.14	\$0.00	\$4,968.14	\$4,461.82	\$0.00	\$4,461.82
Contracts	\$37,500.00	\$0.00	\$37,500.00		\$0.00	\$ 0.00
Other	\$11,706,362.06	\$0.00	\$11,706,362.06	\$6,178,936.31	\$0.00	\$6,178,936.31
TOTAL DIRECT CHARGES	\$11,964,517.47	\$0.00	\$11,964,517.47		\$0.00	\$ 0.00
Indirect Charges	\$115,481.99	\$0.00	\$115,481.99	\$85,940.05	\$0.00	\$85,940.05
TOTAL	\$12,079,999.46	\$0.00	\$12,079,999.46	\$6,460,286.63	\$0.00	\$6,460,286.63
*Explain Any Discrepancies:	The Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission operates on a reimbursement basis with our member tribes.					

ECOSYSTEM GOALS ADDRESSED

16a. Primary Goal	Healthy Habitat
16b. Additional Goals	Healthy Species Water Quality Water Quantity ----- ----- -----

DIRECT THREATS ADDRESSED

17a. Primary Threat	-----
17b. Secondary Threat(s)	Climate Change Dams/Levees/Tidegates Derelict Gear/Vessels Development Invasive Species - Terrestrial Invasive Species - Marine
	Large Scale Timber Harvest Shoreline Armoring Surface Water Loading/Runoff from the Built Env -----

LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND ACTION AGENDA

18a. Strategic Priorities Employed	Priority A Priority B Priority C Priority D Priority E
18b. Near-Term Actions Supported	
18c. Other Actions Supported	

LINKAGES TO EPA PUGET SOUND MEASURES

19. Measure(s)	Habitat Restored/Protected ----- -----
----------------	--

LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND DASHBOARD INDICATORS

20a. Primary Indicator	-----
20b. Additional Indicators	Marine Water Quality Index Stream Flows Below Critical Levels Wild Chinook Salmon Pacific Herring Shoreline Armoring

PROJECT LOCATION

21a. Latitude	47.051698	21b. Longitude	-122.792501
21c. Hydrologic Unit Code	171100 - Sound-wide	-----	-----
21d. Action Area	Sound-wide	-----	-----

MEASURES OF SUCCESS (Key Grant Outputs)

*22a. Description (e.g., "shellfish beds reopened")	*22b. Unit (e.g., "acres")	*22c. Project Target ("number")	*22d. Project Measure To-Date ("number")
Developed and distributed a final RFP to 21 Tribes and Tribal Consortiums for each fiscal year (FY10, FY11, FY12, FY13)	RFP Document	4	4
Developed and engaged in a Coordination Plan, disseminating and sharing a subrecipient project information document each fiscal year (FY10, FY11, FY12, and FY13) with tribes and LO group	Subrecipient Proposal Information	4	3
Approved 21 subrecipient proposals, communicated award notification and executed contracts to all subrecipients for each fiscal year (FY10, FY11, FY12, and FY13)	Subrecipient Contracts in Place	84	62

PROJECT MILESTONES

Instructions: In the tables below, please explain your progress toward meeting agreed outputs for the period, **reasons for slippages**, and any additional information including **reflections, lessons learned, and/or thoughtful analysis**. When appropriate, include analysis and information of **cost overruns or high unit costs**, and changes to work plan or budget not requiring prior approval from EPA. We encourage photo documentation - please attach to the report as a separate document.

23a. Work Plan Component/Task: 1. Program development and launch

23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed: D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.

***23c. Estimated Costs:**

Actual Costs to Date:

(If required by PO)

23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
1.1	Communication/outreach plan	1.14.11, 7.12.11, 6.22.12, 6.17.13	COMPLETED	Communication/outreach plan	NWIFC developed a communication/outreach plan for FY10 and FY11 that consisted of: (1) a transmittal note for the RFP; (2) a mailing distribution list that ensured that all eligible entities were notified equitably, timely,

					<p>and thoroughly; and (3) a target date for releasing the RFP.</p> <p>Due to our previous interactions and current relationships with our member tribes, we had in place a Puget Sound Tribes distribution list that contained pertinent tribal contacts. We vetted this distribution list to ensure that the proper contacts are included and have continued to add to this distribution list as needed and requested.</p>
1.2	RFP development and distribution	1.25.11, 7.15.11, 6.22.12, 8.5.13	COMPLETED	Final RFP distributed	<p>NWIFC developed the final FY10 RFP through close consultation with the EPA, utilizing and editing the FY08 RFP to finalize the FY10's fundamental components and timeline. Additions to the FY10 RFP included: (1) language that fully described the intent of these funds; (2) requirements for all projects that collect environmental data to have a QAPP in place prior to data collection; and (3) logic model terminology. While we didn't include the traditional logic model table format, we utilized the logic model terminology to request specific outputs and outcomes per task.</p> <p>The FY10 RFP was then used as a template to develop subsequent fiscal year RFPs.</p> <p>Additions to the FY11 RFP included: (1) adding PSP Ecosystem Recovery Targets as eligible activities under this award; (2) a request to describe how the potential impacts of climate change will be addressed</p>

				<p>in the planning and implementation of the subrecipient project; and (3) additional guidance regarding the information needed in the budget narrative, including a task delineated budget appendix. In consultation with the EPA Project Officer, we finalized the proposal review process and timeline.</p> <p>Additions to the FY12 RFP of note included: (1) clarifying language that delineated differences between subcontracts and professional services within the budget narrative section; and (2) adding a category within the narrative section that required an explanation of how technical review was going to occur for major technical products of the subrecipient workplan. This provision on technical review was included to reflect and satisfy a new term and condition of NWIFC's contract that NWIFC and the EPA project officer collaboratively discussed and agreed upon.</p> <p>Additions to the FY13 RFP of note included: (1) notification and inclusion of a new riparian buffer on agricultural lands term and condition; and (2) a request for distinction between outputs that are tracked to monitor the progress of an award versus deliverables that are work products that will be provided to NWIFC before the close of the award.</p>
--	--	--	--	--

					We distributed the final RFP for FY10 on 1.25.11, for FY11 on 7.15.11, for FY12 on 6.22.12, and for FY13 on 8.5.13.
1.3	Coordination plan	1.31.11	COMPLETED	Coordination plan	NWIFC developed a coordination plan that can be executed throughout the project period and includes: (1) ensuring that the PSP is aware of the aims and activities of the subrecipient projects by enlisting them as a key reviewer of these subawards; (2) engaging the EPA Project Officer to discuss the capacity awards that the subrecipient projects are concurrently receiving, in order to avoid duplicative funding efforts; (3) engaging in existing processes and groups to disseminate and share subrecipient project information, including the ECB, the Leadership Council, the PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and the PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus; (4) participating in LO meetings to ensure that other LOs are fully award of our subrecipient projects and vice versa; (5) an existing NWIFC website that is dedicated to information related to Puget Sound Partnership and Treaty Tribes of Western Washington.

23a. Work Plan Component/Task: 2. Award cycle

23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed: D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.

***23c. Estimated Costs:**

Actual Costs to Date:

(If required by PO)

23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
2.1	Reviewing subaward proposals	7.6.11, 3.21.11, ongoing	CURRENT	Project files set up; comments from all reviewers documented; input shared with applicants	<p>All project files have been set up, with all digital files held by the Projects Coordinator and all final hard copies held by the Contracts Specialist.</p> <p>For FY10, FY11, and FY12, NIWFC has received, documented, and shared input from the NWIFC, EPA, and PSP review teams with all 21 subrecipients. For FY12, there is one tribal proposal that has been withdrawn (due to a change in the Tribe's internal top priorities) and a new proposal will be submitted to coincide with the FY13 proposal review process.</p> <p>For FY13, NWIFC has received and documented proposals from all 21 subreceptients for the 9.23.13 FY13 proposal deadline. All subrecipient proposals are in various stages of internal and external review. There are currently: 19 proposals that NWIFC is reviewing internally before sending off for broader review and/or working with our tribes in the pre-review process; and 2 proposals that are being reviewed externally by the EPA and PSP.</p>
2.2	Receive final proposals and make subawards	8.2.11, 7.12.12, ongoing	CURRENT	Final workplans addressing key input received; 65% of funds	For FY10 and FY11, NWIFC has successfully communicated with all 21 subreceptients to address

				awarded by 11/16/12; 100% by 1/1/13; all recipients informed of award requirements	<p>key input and all final workplans have been received, approved, and awarded. For FY12, NWIFC has successfully communicated with 20 subrecipients to address key input and final workplans for these subrecipients have been received, approved, and awarded. As discussed in Task 2.1, there is one subrecipient workplan that has not yet been approved.</p> <p>For FY10, 65% of funds were awarded by 5/19/2011 and 100% of funds were awarded by 8.2.11. For FY11, 65% of funds were awarded by 2.9.12 and 100% of funds were awarded by 7/12/12. For FY12, 65% of funds were awarded by 3.26.13 and 95% of funds were awarded by 9.25.13.</p> <p>For FY13, the review process has just begun and, as such, no final workplans have been contracted.</p> <p>All contracted subrecipients have been informed of award requirements, as included in their NWIFC contract (including EPA Administrative and Programmatic Conditions; Anti-lobbying Certification; MBE/WBE Certification; Federal Financial Report; EPA FEATS; OMB Circulars A-87, A-133 & A-102; 15 CFR Part 24 & Part 28; 2 CFR Part 1326, Subpart C; and 40 CFR Part 34).</p>

23a. Work Plan Component/Task: 3. Program management

23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed: D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.

***23c. Estimated Costs:**

Actual Costs to Date:

(If required by PO)

23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
3.1	Support/meet with awardees	Ongoing	CURRENT	All subrecipients understand applicable award requirements	All subrecipients have been contacted via phone, email, or in person and the appropriate support has continued to be given in regards to the award process and applicable award requirements. The Projects Coordinator retains and files all email correspondence and maintains a phone log tracking all substantive phone conversations.
3.2	Conduct project monitoring	Ongoing	CURRENT	Subawardee reporting requirements met; site visits conducted to 33% of funded projects (year 1); site visit and progress reports prepared and made available; all recipients in compliance with applicable award requirements	For the reporting periods of 4.1.11 - 9.30.11, 10.1.11 - 3.31.12, 4.1.12 - 9.30.12, and 10.1.13 - 4.1.13, all FY10 and applicable FY11 and FY12 subrecipients submitted FEATS progress reports to the Projects Coordinator. The Projects Coordinator reviews FEATS for progress to ensure that all subrecipients are in compliance with applicable award requirements, including but not limited to: proper budget invoicing, project timeline adherence, task and output progress (including project requirements such as QAPP and permit approval), draw-down rate versus expenditures. For any FY11 and

				<p>FY12 projects that were not yet contracted or had not yet begun (neither tasks nor funding begun/expended), the Projects Coordinator communicated with the subrecipient that a FEATS was not needed and marked within the tracking sheet which projects had not yet begun.</p> <p>When necessary, the Projects Coordinator communicated with subrecipients to clarify information provided in the FEATS report and ascertain additional project progress. All approved subrecipient FEATS were sent to the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.</p> <p>In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.31.13, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, and FY12 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report at the beginning of October, providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports on 10.31.13, NWIFC will engage in review and approval of all FY10, FY11, and FY12 subrecipient FEATS reports.</p> <p>Seven sites visits (Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe,</p>
--	--	--	--	--

					<p>Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and Nooksack Indian Tribe) were conducted for the time period of 2011 - 2012 and three site visits (Tulalip Tribes, Squaxin Island Tribe, and Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe) have occurred for the time period of 2012 - 2013. An additional four site visits will be conducted in the fall of 2013.</p> <p>Site visits are determined using a risk evaluation matrix and conducted to assess project progress and compliance with award requirements (including, but not limited to - adherence to workplan timeline; progress and completion of tasks and outputs; QAPP development, review, and/or approval status; desire/need for an EPA TSR; obstacles or problems encountered by subrecipient; progress report requirement adherence; review of funds spent and/or high award balances, if applicable; and subrecipient questions regarding award conditions, including proposal, review, and reporting requirements).</p> <p>All site visit reports are held at NWIFC and are available upon request.</p>
3.3	Execute coordination plan	4.1.11, 1.10.12, 1.17.13	CURRENT	<p>Exchange of project lists between LOs; Update of the PSP/NWIFC website to include subaward project descriptions and progress reports, as they become available;</p>	<p>NWIFC continued to: (1) engage the PSP as a key reviewer of these subawards; (2) meet with the EPA Project Officer to discuss relevant capacity awards of the subrecipients to ensure funding duplication did not occur; (3) participate in ECB, Leadership</p>

				<p>Other coordination activities to be developed in consultation with EPA PO</p>	<p>Council, PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus meetings, as possible given the departure of the Puget Sound Policy Analyst; (4) participate in scheduled LO meetings and disseminate a list of subrecipient projects for FY10, FY11, and FY12; and (5) update the PSP/NWIFC website to include meeting notes for ECB, Leadership Council, PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus meetings, as possible given the departure of the Puget Sound Policy Analyst.</p> <p>For FY10, the subrecipient project list was presented to other LOs and interested parties at the PSP Ecosystem Coordination Board meeting on 4.1.11. For FY11, the list was sent to the LO Coordinator's listserve on 1.10.12. For FY12, the list was presented and shared with the LO Coordinator's listserve on 1.17.13 during an ECB meeting. The FY12 list and associated materials were also sent to the Puget Sound tribes distribution list on 2.27.13 in preparation for an LO presentation at NWIFC.</p> <p>As soon as the majority of FY13 proposals have been reviewed, a subrecipient project list will be sent to the LO Coordination Group and emailed to the Puget Sound Tribes Distribution List.</p> <p>All subrecipient project lists are posted online to the PSP/NWIFC</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					website so that they are available in an easily accessible format for the public and interested parties. Also, all FEATS and applicable attachments, once approved, are posted online (in conjunction with their project description) to the Tribal Project Updates section of the PSP/NWIFC website.
3.4	Reporting and adaptive management	11.30.11, 4.20.12, 10.31.12, 4.30.13, 10.31.13	CURRENT	LO reporting requirements fulfilled; quarterly check-in meetings/calls with EPA PO	<p>Administration of the program, including periodic progress review, has been coordinated by the Projects Coordinator, under the tutelage of the Salmon Recovery Projects Coordinator. NWIFC continues to be in close contact with NWIFC's EPA Project Officer, engaging in regular check-ins to clarify EPA proposal reviews and discuss challenges faced within the review process. The EPA Projects Officer has been extremely helpful, proactive, and communicative during the entirety of this award process.</p> <p>The LO reporting requirements were successfully met for the reporting periods of 4.1.11 - 9.30.11, 10.1.1 - 3.31.12, 4.1.12 - 9.30.12, and 10.1.12 - 9.30.13. The LO reporting requirements are in the process of being fulfilled for the 4.1.13 - 9.30.13 reporting period (as being submitted through this FEATS report).</p>

23a. Work Plan Component/Task:

23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed:

***23c. Estimated Costs:**

Actual Costs to Date:

(If required by PO)

23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks

23a. Work Plan Component/Task:

23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed:

***23c. Estimated Costs:**

Actual Costs to Date:

(If required by PO)

23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks

CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS (specific to reporting period)

*24a. Task No., Sub-Task No.	*24b. Challenge	*24c. Solution
1.2 RFP Development and Distribution	The FY13 RFP was delayed by approximately three weeks to await a common and accepted Lead	The Commission was willing to delay the release of our RFP to accommodate this need as we are in full

	Organization RFP language on the Riparian Buffer Term and Condition.	support of this new term and condition as adequate riparian buffers are essential for salmon restoration and protection. At the release of our RFP, we informed our tribes that they (as in previous fiscal years) could submit their proposals any time between the RFP release and the RFP deadline. We felt as though this approach would hopefully counteract the late release in that it would allow any tribes who had early FY13 start dates to submit their proposal early.

HIGHLIGHTS/LESSONS LEARNED/REFLECTIONS

***25.**

(1) HIGHLIGHT: While all 21 subrecipient proposals contain projects that will and are substantially contributing to the restoration and protection of Puget Sound, we have chosen to highlight the progress of the following subrecipient projects. Additional tribal project accomplishments are in the process of being reported to NWIFC for this reporting period. Once NWIFC has reviewed and approved the next subrecipient FEATS reports, due to NWIFC on 10/31/13, they will be posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website (<http://blogs.nwifc.org/psp/>).

Lummi Nation (FY11) - South Fork Nooksack River Cavanaugh Island Restoration Project: The Lummi Nation successfully constructed six engineered logjams, with 9 habitat structures, in order to improve salmon habitat conditions in the South Fork Nooksack River. There were initial permitting difficulties due to conflicting construction windows for Chinook (present in the area) and the endangered marbled murrelet (potential nesting habitat). Difficulties were overcome and permitting occurred due to constant and positive contact with all cooperators, including USFWS, Washington State DNR, and ACOE. The first year of data, including pool depths, pebble counts, and fish surveys will be collected after one winter in order to assess how the logjams are functioning.

Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe (FY10) - Sauk-Suiattle Knotweed Eradication: The Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe treated 4 acres of knotweed infestation and surveyed a total of 4,000 acres for knotweed in the Sauk and Suiattle River watershed. The Tribe is now in position to be a much more active partner in the Skagit Cooperative Weed Management Area, which is the partnership dedicated to eradicating and controlling knotweed and other invasive plants in the Skagit watershed. Regardless of the future of the Puget Sound Partnership, the Tribe will have the training and capacity to combat knotweed to continue to prevent it from getting the upper hand in one of the most productive and wild riparian areas in Puget Sound.

Nisqually Indian Tribe (FY11) - Marine Survival of Salmon and Steelhead in the Salish Sea: The Nisqually Indian Tribe subcontracted to Long Live the Kings (LLTK) to convene leading scientists and managers to identify the most significant factors affecting the growth, condition, and survival of salmon and steelhead while they outmigrate and reside in the Salish Sea marine and estuarine environment. LLTK has established a multi-agency (state, tribes, federal, academic) Coordinating Committee and multi-agency, multi-disciplinary Technical Team, created and maintained a project management website, collected and synthesized background data, and held a 3-day workshop with over 90 participants with results and recommendations from the workshops; and hypotheses and preliminary research recommendations for Puget Sound available on their website (<http://www.lltk.org/rebuilding-populations/salish-sea-marine-survival/publications-0>).

Skokomish Tribal Nation (FY10) - Monitoring of the Skokomish Estuary after Restoration: The Skomish Tribal Nation conducted research and monitoring efforts to assess the effectiveness of the Skokomish Estuary Restoration Project, including a successful first year of monitoring of: relative abundance, distribution, residence time, feeding behavior, and species diversity of salmonids and other fish species; colonization and succession of vegetation; sediment transport and estuarine mixing; and insect community response. All samples were analyzed and entered into the their database, with pertinent data entered into NPSTORET. In addition, an effectiveness monitoring plan for the Skokomish River Delta Estuary Restoration was completed by the Skokomish Indian Tribe and project partners, Mason Conservation District and Washington Department of Fish and Wildflie.

Puyallup Tribe of Indians (FY11) - Wade Residence Property Acquisition: The Puyallup Tribe of Indians has purchased for protection and restoration in perpetuity a key property in the South Prairie Creek, a premier salmon spawning tributary in the Puyallup Basin. The first and second rounds of noxious weed (Japanese Knotweed) removal and of native vegetation planting, which included over 500 local plants in the first round and 200 local plants the second round, has occurred. Mowing and maintenance will continue through the summer of 2014. Two septic tanks on the property were removed according to DOH guidelines, with the removal of the modular home scheduled to occur in Summer 2014, which will complete this important project.

2) REFLECTION: We continue to enjoy and highly value our good working relationship with the EPA and our project officer. Continued and consistent funding for these high priority tribal projects is of the utmost importance to Puget Sound restoration and protection. We look forward to continuing to work with the EPA in current and future fiscal years in supporting our tribes and Puget Sound health.